

TITLE: Triptans for Migraine Headaches: A Review of Clinical Evidence on Safety

DATE: 23 March 2012

CONTEXT AND POLICY ISSUES

Three million Canadians suffer from migraine headaches; characterized by intense headaches, nausea, vomiting, and sensitivity to light, sound or movements as defined by the International Headache Society.¹ Migraine attacks last from four to 72 hours and are preceded or accompanied by transient focal neurological symptoms known as aura in 10% to 20% of patients.¹ More common in women than men, migraines are costly in terms of health care resources, lost productivity and impact on quality of life. Triptans have become the treatment of choice for moderate to severe migraine attacks. While six triptans are available for use in Canada, sumatriptan, rizatriptan, naratriptan, zolmitriptan and almotriptan are currently listed on the formulary.¹ Most formularies have a limit on the number of tablets covered per month. This review evaluates the safety and harms of triptans to identify whether triptan overuse is unsafe and limits are clinically valid.

RESEARCH QUESTION

What is the clinical evidence on the safety and harms of triptans for migraine headaches?

KEY MESSAGE

While no consistent differences were found between triptans in the rates of overall AEs, a small number of studies suggest oral, intranasal and subcutaneous sumatriptan are associated with chest pain and tachycardia. The most common AEs include dizziness, drowsiness, paresthesia, nausea and fatigue. One study suggests that providing a clinical limit of 27 rizatriptan ODT 10 mg/month did not reduce the number of migraine days compared with providing a formulary limit of 9 tablets per month. Regardless of quantity, rizatriptan ODT 10 mg was well tolerated as AEs were similar between groups.

<u>Disclaimer</u>: The Rapid Response Service is an information service for those involved in planning and providing health care in Canada. Rapid responses are based on a limited literature search and are not comprehensive, systematic reviews. The intent is to provide a list of sources and a summary of the best evidence on the topic that CADTH could identify using all reasonable efforts within the time allowed. Rapid responses should be considered along with other types of information and health care considerations. The information included in this response is not intended to replace professional medical advice, nor should it be construed as a recommendation for or against the use of a particular health technology. Readers are also cautioned that a lack of good quality evidence does not necessarily mean a lack of effectiveness particularly in the case of new and emerging health technologies, for which little information can be found, but which may in future prove to be effective. While CADTH has taken care in the preparation of the report to ensure that its contents are accurate, complete and up to date, CADTH does not make any guarantee to that effect. CADTH is not liable for any loss or damages resulting from use of the information in the report.

<u>Copyright</u>: This report contains CADTH copyright material. It may be copied and used for non-commercial purposes, provided that attribution is given to CADTH.

<u>Links</u>: This report may contain links to other information available on the websites of third parties on the Internet. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third party sites is governed by the owners' own terms and conditions.

METHODS

Literature Search Strategy

A limited literature search was conducted on key resources including PubMed, The Cochrane Library (2012, Issue 2), University of York Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) databases, Canadian and major international health technology agencies, as well as a focused Internet search. A focused search (with main concepts appearing in title or subject heading) was also conducted in Ovid EMBASE. Methodological filters were applied to limit retrieval to health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials and non-randomized studies containing safety data. Where possible, retrieval was limited to the human population. The search was also limited to English language documents published between January 1, 2008 and February 24, 2012.

Selection Criteria and Methods

One reviewer screened citations to identify health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized and non-randomized studies on the safety of triptans for migraine headache. Potentially relevant articles were ordered based on titles and abstracts, where available. One reviewer considered full-text articles for inclusion according to the selection criteria listed in Table 1.

Population	Individuals with migraine headaches
Intervention	Triptans: sumatriptan, rizatriptan, naratriptan, zolmitriptan and almotriptan
Comparator	Triptans: sumatriptan, rizatriptan, naratriptan, zolmitriptan and almotriptan None (for non-randomized studies)
Outcomes	Harms Harms including cardiovascular adverse events Harms stemming from overuse
Study Designs	Health technology assessments, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials (RCT), and non-randomized studies.

Exclusion Criteria

Articles were excluded if they did not satisfy the selection criteria, if they had incomplete methods, were included in a selected systematic review, or were narrative reviews or case reports.

Critical Appraisal of Individual Studies

Critical appraisal of the included studies was performed based on study design. Systematic reviews were assessed using the Assessment of Multiple Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) criteria.² Randomized studies were assessed for quality using the Down's and Black instrument.³ Instead of calculating numeric scores, the strengths and limitations of each study were described.

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

Quantity of Research Available

The literature search yielded 475 citations. Upon screening titles and abstracts, 18 potentially relevant articles were retrieved for full-text review. Three potentially relevant reports were retrieved from grey literature and hand searching. Of the 21 potentially relevant reports, one contained an irrelevant population, three contained an irrelevant outcome, and nine had incomplete methods, were narrative reviews or case reports. Eight publications were included in this review. The process of study selection is outlined in the PRISMA flowchart (Appendix 1).

Clinical Evidence on the Safety of Triptans for Migraine Headache

Summary of Study Characteristics

The safety of triptans for migraine headache was reported in six systematic reviews and metaanalyses,⁴⁻⁹ one RCT,¹⁰ and an observer-blind, randomized, parallel-group study.¹¹ The Drug Effectiveness Review Project reported a class review of all formulations of triptans and triptan fixed dose combination products used to treat acute migraine with or without aura.⁴ Four systematic reviews with meta-analyses reported on the use of sumatriptan administered orally,⁷ intranasally,⁵ subcutaneously⁶ or rectally⁸ for the treatment of acute migraine. One systematic review and meta-analysis reported on the efficacy and safety of three formulations of zolmitriptan for acute migraine.⁹ A multicentre RCT reported on the use of almotriptan for migraine in adolescents.¹⁰ An observer-blind, randomized, parallel-group study comparing a formulary limit versus a clinical limit of rizatriptan orally disintegrating tablets (ODT) was also selected for review.¹¹ Studies were conducted in the United States^{4,10,11} and the United Kingdom.⁵⁻⁹ Summaries of study characteristics, critical appraisal and study findings can be found in Appendices 2, 3, and 4, respectively. A summary of the clinical evidence on the safety and harms of triptans for migraine headaches can be found in Table 2.

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses

Systematic reviews contained as few as three⁸ and as many as 134 studies.⁴ All six systematic reviews included adults with migraine with or without aura but five reviews specified the International Headache Society definition for migraine.⁵⁻⁹ The class review by DERP compared all formulations of almotriptan, eletriptan, frovatriptan, naratriptan, rizatriptan, sumatriptan, and zolmatriptan as monotherapy or in combination versus an active comparator or placebo.⁴ Four systematic reviews compared sumatriptan administered orally,⁷ intranasally,⁵ subcutaneously,⁶ or rectally⁸ versus an active comparator or placebo. One systematic review comparator or placebo zolmitriptan oral tablets, orally disintegrating tablets (ODT), and nasal spray versus an active comparator or placebo.⁹ Overall, the reviews reported withdrawals,⁴ withdrawals due to AEs,⁴⁻⁸ withdrawals by specific AEs,⁴ 24 hour AEs,⁵⁻⁹ and particular AEs.⁵⁻⁹

RCTs

One multicentre double-blind RCT¹⁰ compared oral almotriptan versus placebo in 866 adolescents with migraine with or without aura.¹⁰ An observer-blind, randomized parallel-group study comparing a limit of 9 rizatripan ODT per month versus 27 rizatriptan ODT per month in 197 adults with migraine was also included in this review.¹¹ Both studies reported AEs.^{10,11}

Summary of Critical Appraisal

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses

All systematic reviews with meta-analyses⁴⁻⁹ were based on a comprehensive literature search using pre-defined criteria. Study selection and data extraction was performed by two independent reviewers in all but one review.⁴ A list of included and excluded studies was provided in all but one systematic review.⁹ There were possible discrepancies in reporting the number and nature of studies in text versus appendices of the DERP report.⁴ Most reviews reported significant variability in the details of reporting AEs within included studies.^{5,6,8,9} All reviews used appropriate methods for pooling studies but the small number of events and varying time periods over which some data were collected prohibited pooling in one review.⁸ Two reviews did not assess publication bias.^{4,9}

RCTs

Both studies clearly described their research questions, eligibility criteria, interventions, outcomes and patient characteristics.^{10,11} The RCT calculated sample size and used an intent to treat analysis. However, the method of randomization was not reported and it is difficult to ensure people were randomized to almotriptan and placebo.¹⁰ In the observer-blind randomized parallel group study, industry generated the allocation schedule and patients were stratified into two groups according to the number of days of migraine per month during baseline. While subjects and investigators were blind at randomization, subjects were unblinded upon receiving nine or 27 rizatriptan ODT.¹¹

Summary of Findings

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses

Triptans and Triptan Fixed-dose Combinations

The Drug Effectiveness Review Project reported that chest pain was more frequent in patients taking sumatriptan100 mg than rizatriptan 5 mg (6% compared with 1%; P < 0.05) but did not differ from rizatriptan 10 mg (6% compared with 3%). Treatment-emergent chest pain was significantly greater for oral sumatriptan 50 mg recipients compared with almotriptan 12.5 mg recipients (2.2% versus 0.3%; P = 0.004). Patients taking subcutaneous sumatriptan 6 mg had higher rates of chest pain than those taking eletriptan 80 mg in one open trial of 1696 migraines. No significant differences were reported in trials assessing dizziness, paresthesias, or somnolence. One trial showed that fatigue was more frequent in patients using sumatriptan 100 mg than those using rizatriptan 5 mg (8% versus 2%; P < 0.05) but no difference was found between sumatriptan 100 mg and rizatriptan 10 mg (8% versus 8%). No consistent differences were reported between Treximet (a sumatriptan-naproxen combination) versus reformulated sumatriptan 85 mg in the rates of dizziness, paresthesia, or somnolence.

Oral Sumatriptan

A dose response relationship was observed in the systematic review of oral sumatriptan.⁷ Compared to placebo, significantly more sumatriptan recipients experienced an AE 24 hours post dose with increasing dosage (number needed to harm (NNH): 5.2, 13, and not statistically significant for sumatriptan 100 mg, 50 mg, and 25 mg, respectively). No significant differences were found between sumatriptan 25 mg, 50 mg, or 100 mg and rizatriptan 5 mg or 10 mg. Similarly, there was no significant difference between sumatriptan 50 mg and effervescent acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) 1000 mg, or zolmitriptan 2.5 mg and 5 mg. The relative risk (RR) of chest pain with sumatriptan 25 mg, 50 mg, 100 mg, 200 mg, and 300 mg was 1.8 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.7 to 4.3), 2.1 (95% CI 1.1 to 3.9), 3.0 (95% CI 1.7 to 5.4), 4.4 (95% CI 0.54 to 36), and 17 (95% CI 2.4 to 127), respectively. Corresponding NNHs for sumatriptan 25 mg, 50 mg, 100 mg, 200 mg, and 300 mg were not reported, 69 (95% CI 40, 260), 44 (95% CI 31, 73), not reported, and 16 (95% CI 11, 27), respectively. The RR of tachycardia using sumatriptan 100 mg was 3.5 (0.75, 17). Sumatriptan caused significantly less fatigue, nausea and vomiting than eletriptan 80 mg (NNH: -17 and -24, respectively). Sumatriptan 25 mg caused less dizziness and drowsiness than rizatriptan 10 mg (NNH 20 and -34, respectively). Sumatriptan 50 mg caused significantly more headache than rizatriptan (5 mg or 10 mg) (NNH: 38 and 34, respectively).

The overall incidence of withdrawals due to AEs was 1.6% (113/7133) for all doses of sumatriptan and 0.65% (19/2926) for placebo.⁷ Overall withdrawals were 2.5% (31/1229) for all doses of sumatriptan, and 2.9% (52/1775) for all doses of zolmitriptan. Withdrawal incidence was 1.2% (10/841) for sumatriptan and 1.3% (12/938) for eletriptan while withdrawals were 0.38% (4/1048) for sumatriptan versus 0.28% (4/1434) for rizatriptan.

Sumatriptan Nasal Spray

In another systematic review by Derry et al,⁵ a meta-analysis of two trials showed that 38% (125/331) of sumatripan nasal spray (NS) 20 mg recipients and 15% (27/185) of placebo recipients experienced an AE 24 hours after dosing. The relative harm of treatment compared to placebo was 2.9 (95% CI 2.0 to 4.2) and the NNH was 4.3 (3.3, 6.3). One study reported that 46% (17/37) of sumatritan NS 40 mg recipients experienced an AE compared to 14% (5/37) placebo recipients. Another study reported that patients receiving sumatriptan NS 10 mg and 20 mg experienced 18% (7/39) and 23% (9/39) AEs compared to 5% (2/39) of placebo participants. A meta-analysis of two trials suggested that the rates of chest pain and tachycardia were similar between sumatriptan NS 20 mg and placebo recipients [RR: 2.0 (95% CI 0.32 to 12) and RR: 0.73 (95% CI 0.11 to 4.9), respectively]. Overall withdrawals were 0.1% (3/1609) for sumatriptan NS and 0.23% (1/431) for placebo. The NNH was not calculated because there were too few events.

Subcutaneous Sumatriptan

In a third systematic review by Derry et al,⁶ meta-analysis of three studies showed that 71% (313/442) of subcutaneous (SC) sumatriptan 4 mg recipients and 41% (113/278) of placebo recipients experienced an AE 24 hours after dosing. The relative harm of treatment compared to placebo was 1.8 (95% CI 1.6 to 2.2) and the NNH was 3.3 (2.7, 4.4). Meta-analysis of nine studies showed that 44% (341/767) of sumatriptan SC 6 mg recipients and 24% (137/575) of placebo recipients experience an AE 24 hours after dosing. The relative harm of treatment compared with placebo was 2.1 (95% CI 1.8 to 2.5) and the NNH was 4.9 (3.9, 6.4). One study reported that the proportion of participants experiencing an AE 24 hours after sumatriptan SC 1 mg, 2 mg, 3 mg, and 8 mg was 63%, 67%, 80%, and 97%, respectively, compared to 55% of placebo recipients. Meta-analyses of two and six studies suggested rates of 5% and 4% for chest pain in sumatriptan SC 2 mg and 6 mg recipients, respectively compared to 1% in placebo recipients. Of the patients receiving sumatriptan SC 6 mg, 4% experienced fatigue, 6% experienced dizziness, and 7% experienced nausea and vomiting compared to 4%, 4% and 5%

of placebo recipients, respectively. The overall incidence of withdrawals due to AE was 1.2% (41/3451) for sumatriptan SC and 0.4% (10/2474) for placebo recipients. There were too few events to calculate NNH.

Rectal Sumatriptan

In a fourth systematic review by Derry et al,⁸ two studies reported a similar incidence of AE with low doses of sumatriptan <12.5 mg and placebo, and a greater incidence with higher doses >25 mg. In these two studies involving 654 patients, one rectal sumatriptan 100 mg recipient was hospitalized for migraine. Due to the varying time periods for data collection and differing means of reporting, data was not pooled. No significant differences in the rates of fatigue, nausea, or vomiting were reported for any dose of rectal sumatriptan compared to placebo. The overall incidence of withdrawals due to AE for two studies was 0.4% (2/520) for all doses of rectal sumatriptan and 0% (0/95) for placebo.

Zolmitriptan Oral Tablets, Orally Disintegrating Tablets and Nasal Spray

A meta-analysis by Chen⁹ pooled risks of AEs from 24 studies and reported that all three formulations of zolmitriptan were associated with significantly more patients reporting AEs than placebo. Zolmitriptan 2.5 mg and 5 mg tablets and zolmitriptan NS 5 mg were also associated with significantly higher risks of dizziness, somnolence, and asthenia than placebo. No significant difference was found in the risk of chest related symptoms when zolmitriptan 2.5 mg and 5 mg were compared with placebo. Single trials showed that there was no significant difference in the risk of dizziness associated with zolmitriptan NS 2.5 mg and somnolence associated with zolmitriptan ODT 2.5 mg compared to placebo. A study of 693 patients reported fewer AEs in zolmitriptan tablet 2.5 mg recipients compared with zolmitriptan NS 5 mg (RR: 0.8; 95% CI 0.65 to 0.99). Significantly more patients taking zolmitriptan 2.5 mg tablets reported AEs than those taking naratriptan 2.5 mg (RR: 1.59; 95% CI 1.10 to 2.30) or rizatriptan 10 mg (RR: 1.27; 95% CI 1.02 to 1.58). In contrast, zolmitriptan tablet 2.5 mg resulted in significantly fewer patients reporting AEs compared with eletriptan 80 mg (RR: 0.80; 95% CI 0.67 to 0.95). There was no significant difference in patients reporting AEs when zolmitriptan tablet 2.5 mg was compared with almotriptan 12.5 mg eletriptan 40 mg, and sumatripan 50 mg. Similarly, no significant difference was found between zolmitriptan tablet 5 mg versus sumatriptan 50 mg or 100 mg.

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs)

Almotriptan for Migraine in Adolescents

According to one RCT,¹⁰ the proportion of adolescents aged 12 to 17 years with \geq 1 AE was 18.6% for placebo, 15% for almotriptan 6.25 mg, 23.6% for almotriptan 12.5 mg, and 25.8% for almotriptan 25 mg. The incidences of AEs in almotriptan 6.25 mg, 12.5 mg, and 25 mg groups were 6.7%, 12.1%, and 12.4%, respectively, compared with 5.8% in the placebo group. AEs with an incidence >2% in any treatment group were dizziness, somnolence, and nausea. No deaths, serious AE, or discontinuations due to AE occurred, and no clinically significant changes in vital signs or electrocardiograms were noted.

Observer-blind Randomized Parallel Group Study

Rizatriptan Orally Disintegrating Tablet

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE SERVICE

An observer-blind, randomized parallel-group study, showed that while rizatriptan is well tolerated, providing a clinical limit of 27 rizatriptan ODT 10 mg did not reduce the number of migraine days compared to patients receiving a formulary limit of 9 tablets.¹¹ AEs were similar for subjects receiving clinical and formulary limits of rizatriptan. Three subjects discontinued due to abdominal cramping and somnolence during baseline, and heart palpitations during treatment. Overall, rizatriptan was generally well tolerated and fewer AEs were reported during treatment than baseline periods. There were six serious AEs involving cholelithiasis, appendicitis, chest pain, paresthesia, hypoesthesia and ketoacidosis in four subjects during baseline. None were considered treatment-related.

Intervention	Evidence	Results
Safety and Ha	arms of Triptans fo	r Migraine Headache
Triptan Fixed-	Systematic review ⁴	No consistent differences in rates of dizziness, parethesia, or
dose		somnolence between Trexmet (sumatriptan 85 mg+naproxen
combinations		sodium 500 mg) and reformulated sumatriptan 85 mg. ⁴
Sumatriptan	5 Systematic	Oral
(oral,	reviews	 Increasing AEs with increasing oral sumatriptan dosage (NNH:
intranasal,		5, 13 and NS for 100 mg, 50 mg, and 25 mg, respectively).
subcutaneous,		• Oral sumatriptan 100 mg resulted in more chest pain and
rectar)		tatigue than rizatriptan 5 mg (6% versus 1%; P<0.05; and 8%
		Versus 2%; P<0.05) but NSD from nzatriptan 10 mg.
		• RR of chest pain increased with oral sumatripitan 25 mg, 50 mg, 100 mg , 200 mg and 300 mg were 1.8 (95% CL 0.7, 4.3), 2.1
		(95% CI 1 1 3 9) 3 0 (95% CI 1 7 5 4) 4 4 (95% CI 0 54 36)
		and 17 (95%CI 2.4, 127), respectively, ⁷ NNH; NR, 69, 44, NR,
		16, respectively. ⁷
		• Treatment-emergent chest pain was significantly greater for
		oral sumatriptan 50 mg compared with almotriptan 12.5 mg
		(2.2% versus 0.3%; P=0.004) ⁴
		• RR of tachycardia for oral sumatriptan 100 mg was 3.5 (0.75, 17).
		 Sumatriptan caused less fatigue, nausea and vomiting than
		eletriptan 80 mg (NNH: -17 and -24, respectively).
		Need Carey
		Masai Spilay
		than placebo (38% versus 15% respectively) RR of harm: 2.9
		(95% Cl 2.0, 4.2): NNH: 4.3. ⁵
		• More sumatriptan NS 40 mg users experienced 24 hour AEs
		than placebo recipients (46% versus14%, respectively). More
		patients receiving sumatriptan NS 10 mg and 20 mg
		experienced AEs than placebo recipients (18% and 23% versus
		5%). ⁵
		Chest pain and tachycardia were similar between sumatriptan
		NS 20 mg and placebo [RR: 2.0; (95% CI 0.32, 12) and RR: 0.72 , (05% CI 0.11, 4.0) respectively $\frac{1}{2}$
		Subcutaneous
		• More sumatriptan SC 4 mg recipients experienced 24 hour AEs
		than placebo recipients (71% versus 41%, respectively). ⁶
		Subcutaneous sumatriptan resulted in higher rates of chest
		pain than eletriptan 80 mg.4

Table 2. Summar	v of the Safet	v and Harms of Tri	ptans for Migraine	Headache
	,	,		

Intervention	Evidence	Results		
Safety and Harms of Triptans for Migraine Headache				
		 Rectal Low incidence of AEs with low dose sumatriptan<12.5; higher incidence with higher doses>25 mg.⁸ NSD in fatigue, nausea, or vomiting between sumatriptan and placebo.⁸ 		
Zolmitriptan	Systematic review	 More zolmitriptan recipients (all formulations) experienced 24 hour AEs than placebo recipients.⁹ Zolmitriptan 2.5 and 5 mg tablets and NS 5 mg were associated with higher risks of dizziness, somnolence, and asthenia than placebo.⁹ NSD in chest-related symptoms between zolmitriptan 2.5 mg or 5 mg versus placebo.⁹ NSD in dizziness with zolmitriptan 2.5 mg and somnolence with ODT 2.5 mg compared to placebo.⁹ Fewer zolmitriptan 2.5 mg had AEs than zolmitriptan NS 5 mg (RR: 0.8; 95% CI 0.65, 0.99).⁹ More zolmitriptan 2.5 mg users reported AEs than naratriptan 2.5 mg (RR: 1.59; 95% CI 1.10, 2.30) or rizatriptan 10 mg (RR: 1.27; 95% CI 1.02, 1.58).⁹ Fewer zolmitriptan 2.5 mg users reported AEs than eletriptan 80 mg (RR: 0.8; 95% CI 0.67, 0.95).⁹ NSD in AEs between zolmitirptan 2.5 mg, almotriptan 12.5 mg, eletriptan 40 mg, and sumatriptan 50 mg.⁹ 		
Almotriptan	RCT ¹⁰	 More adolescent almotriptan recipients experienced an AE than placebo recipients with the exception of 6.5 mg recipients (23.6%, 25.8%, 18.6% and 15%, respectively).¹⁰ Incidence of AEs in almotriptan 6.25 mg, 12.5 mg, and 25 mg groups were 6.7%, 12.1%, and 12.4%, respectively, compared with 5.8% in the placebo group. 		
Rizatriptan	Observer-blind randomized parallel group study ¹¹	 While rizatriptan is well tolerated, providing 27 ODT 10 mg did not reduce the number of migraine days compared to patients receiving 9 ODT/month.¹¹ AE were similar between groups.¹¹ 		

AE: adverse event; CI: confidence interval; NNH: number needed to harm; NSD: no significant difference; ODT: oral disintegrating tablets, RR: relative risk

Limitations

The evidence included in this review has inherent limitations that limit its usefulness in drawing conclusions regarding the safety and harms of triptans for migraine headaches. While one class review, 4 systematic reviews on sumatriptan, a systematic review of all formulations of zolmitriptan, an RCT of almotriptan in adolescents and an observer-blind parallel group study were reviewed, large retrospective database case-controlled studies are more likely to accurately reflect the incidence of rare cardiovascular events. While cardiovascular AE are of interest, with the exception of the electrocardiograms reported in the RCT,¹⁰ it is difficult to tell whether chest pain or chest related events are cardiovascular related if no diagnostic test is specified. The systematic reviews cited significant variability in the details of reporting of outcomes in the included studies,^{5,8,9} and specific AEs were often reported as in terms of number of patients experiencing any AE as opposed to number of adverse events experienced⁶ which could underestimate their occurrence. There is likely some overlap between the studies

included in the DERP class review and other systematic reviews and meta-analysis. While one blind-observer randomized parallel group study reported on the use of a formulary limit of nine versus a clinical limit of 27 rizatriptan ODT,¹¹ no evidence was found regarding AE and harms due to triptan overuse.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR DECISION OR POLICY MAKING

A drug class review suggests there are no consistent differences between triptan monotherapies in rates of overall AEs.⁴ The most common AEs include dizziness, drowsiness, paresthesia, nausea and fatigue.^{4-7,9-11} Systematic reviews of sumatriptan and zolmitriptan suggest AEs are transient, mild and increase with dose but there is no significant difference between triptans and comparators for most AEs.^{5-7,9} Oral, intranasal and subcutaneous sumatriptan were associated with chest pain⁵⁻⁷ and tachycardia.^{5,7} One in every 44 people treated with oral sumatriptan 100 mg experience chest pain. While no evidence was found regarding AEs as a result of triptan overuse, an observer-blind randomized parallel group study showed that providing a clinical limit of 27 rizatriptan ODT 10 mg/month did not reduce the number of migraine days compared with providing 9 tablets/month.¹¹ Regardless of quantity, rizatriptan was well tolerated as AEs were similar between groups.¹¹

PREPARED BY:

Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health Tel: 1-866-898-8439 www.cadth.ca

REFERENCES

- Membe S, McGahan L, Cimon K, Gawel M, Giammarco R, Mierzwinski-Urban M. Triptans for acute migraine: comparative clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness [Internet]. Ottawa (ON): Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health; 2007. [cited 2012 Feb 24]. (Technology report no. 76). Available from: http://www.cadth.ca/en/products/health-technology-assessment/publication/690
- Shea BJ, Grimshaw JM, Wells GA, Boers M, Andersson N, Hamel C, et al. Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. BMC Med Res Methodol [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2012 Feb 24];7:10. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1810543/pdf/1471-2288-7-10.pdf</u>
- Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. J Epidemiol Community Health [Internet]. 1998 Jun [cited 2012 Feb 24];52(6):377-84. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1756728/pdf/v052p00377.pdf
- Helfand M, Peterson K. Drug class review: triptans [Internet]. Final report: update 4. Portland (OR): Drug Effectiveness Review Project, Oregon and Health & Science University; 2009. [cited 2012 Mar 9]. Available from: <u>http://derp.ohsu.edu/final/Triptans_final_report_update%204_version%202_unshaded_09</u> <u>_JUN.pdf</u>
- 5. Derry CJ, Derry S, Moore RA. Sumatriptan (intranasal route of administration) for acute migraine attacks in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;2:CD009663.
- 6. Derry CJ, Derry S, Moore RA. Sumatriptan (subcutaneous route of administration) for acute migraine attacks in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;2:CD009665.
- 7. Derry CJ, Derry S, Moore RA. Sumatriptan (oral route of administration) for acute migraine attacks in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;2:CD008615.
- 8. Derry CJ, Derry S, Moore RA. Sumatriptan (rectal route of administration) for acute migraine attacks in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;2:CD009664.
- 9. Chen LC, Ashcroft DM. Meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of zolmitriptan in the acute treatment of migraine. Headache. 2008 Feb;48(2):236-47.
- 10. Linder SL, Mathew NT, Cady RK, Finlayson G, Ishkanian G, Lewis DW. Efficacy and tolerability of almotriptan in adolescents: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Headache. 2008 Oct;48(9):1326-36.
- 11. Cady RK, Goldstein J, Silberstein S, Juhasz M, Ramsey K, Rodgers A, et al. Expanding access to triptans: assessment of clinical outcome. Headache. 2009 Nov;49(10):1402-13.



APPENDIX 1: Selection of Included Studies



APPENDIX 2: Summary of Study Characteristics

First Author, Publication Year Country	Study Design	Patient Characteristics	Intervention	Comparator	Clinical Outcomes Measured
Safety and Ha	arms of Triptans	for Migraine Head	lache		
Systematic Re	views and Meta-a	analyses			
DERP ⁴ 2009 United States	Systematic review of 39 head-to-head trials, 82 placebo- controlled trials, 2 non- randomized studies and 11 systematic reviews	Adults with migraine with or without aura	Oral, nasal or injectable monotherapy or combination almotriptan, eletriptan, frovatriptan naratriptan, sumatriptan, sumatriptan- naproxen, zolmatriptan	Active comparator or placebo	Overall withdrawals, withdrawals due to AE, withdrawals due to specific AE (CNS effects, chest tightness)
Derry' 2012 United Kingdom	Systematic review, meta- analysis (61 studies, 37,250 participants)	Adults with migraine with or without aura (IHS criteria)	Sumatriptan (oral)	Active comparator or placebo	Any AE 24 hr post-dose, particular AE 24 hr post- dose, withdrawals due to AE
Derry⁵ 2012 United Kingdom	Systematic review, meta- analysis (12 studies, 4755 participants)	Adults with migraine with or without aura (IHS criteria)	Sumatriptan (NS)	Active comparator or placebo	Any AE 24 hr post-dose, particular AE 24 hr post- dose, withdrawals due to AE
Derry ⁶ 2012 United Kingdom	Systematic review, meta- analysis (35 studies, 9365 participants)	Adults with migraine with or without aura (IHS criteria)	Sumatriptan (SC)	Active comparator or placebo	Any AE 24 hr post-dose, particular AE 24 hr post- dose, withdrawals due to AE
Derry ⁸ 2012 United Kingdom	Systematic review, meta- analysis (3 studies, 866 participants)	Adults with migraine with or without aura (IHS criteria)	Sumatriptan (rectal)	Active comparator or placebo	Any AE 24 hr post-dose, particular AE 24 hr post- dose, withdrawals due to AE
Chen [®] 2008 United Kingdom	Systematic review, meta analysis (24 RCTs,	Adults with migraine with or without aura (IHS criteria)	Zolmitriptan (oral tablet, ODT, NS)	Active comparator or placebo	Any AE 24 hr post-dose; dizziness, somnolence,

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE SERVICE

First Author, Publication Year Country	Study Design	Patient Characteristics	Intervention	Comparator	Clinical Outcomes Measured
	15,408 patients)				asthenia, or chest-related symptoms
Randomized Co	ntrolled Trials (RCT	ſs)			
Linder ¹⁰ 2008 United States	DB MC RCT (n=866)	Adolescents aged 12 to 17 yr with >1 yr history of migraine, having a migraine with or without aura	Almotriptan (oral)	Placebo	AE, vital signs, electrocardiogr ams
Randomized Ob	server-blind Paralle	el-Group Study			
Cady ¹¹ 2009 United States	Observer-blind, randomized, parallel-group; 3 months (n=197)	Adults with migraine with or without aura	Rizatriptan (formulary limit of 9 ODT/month)	Rizatriptan (clinical limit of 27 ODT/month)	AE

AE: adverse events; CNS: central nervous system; DB: double blind; DERP: Drug Effectiveness Review Project; IHS: International Headache Society criteria; MC: multicentre; NS: nasal spray; ODT: orally disintegrating tablet; SC: subcutaneous: RCT: randomized controlled trial

APPENDIX 3: Summary of Critical Appraisal

First Author,	Strengths	Limitations
Year		
Safety and Harms	of Triptans for Migraine Headache	
Systematic Reviews	and Meta-Analyses	
DERP ⁴ 2009	 Comprehensive literature search based on pre-defined criteria 	 Search restricted to English language articles
United States	 Study selection was performed using well defined criteria 	 Unclear if study selection and data extraction was in duplicate
	 A list of included and excluded studies was provided 	 Possible discrepancies in reporting the number and nature of studies in text
	 Trial quality assessed based on predefined criteria. 	versus appendicesPublication bias not assessed
	 Conflict of interest statement 	
Derry ⁴ 2012 United Kingdom	 Comprehensive literature search based on pre-defined criteria Study selection was performed by 	 No conflict of interest statement provided
	 A list of included and excluded studies was provided 	
	Data extraction was performed in duplicate by two reviewers	
	Characteristics of included studies were well reported	
	 Methods of pooling studies were appropriate and publication bias was assessed 	
Derry⁵ 2012	 Comprehensive literature search based on pre-defined criteria 	 No conflict of interest statement provided
United Kingdom	 Study selection was performed by two independent reviewers 	 Significant variability in the details of reporting of included studies (diary
	 A list of included and excluded studies was provided 	cards, spontaneous reports, follow-up reviews)
	 Data extraction was performed in duplicate by two reviewers 	
	Characteristics of included studies were well reported	
	 Methods of pooling studies were appropriate and publication bias was assessed 	
Derry⁵ 2012	 Comprehensive literature search based on pre-defined criteria 	 No conflict of interest statement provided
United Kingdom	 Study selection was performed by two independent reviewers 	 Individual AE were reported inconsistently between included
	 A list of included and excluded studies was provided 	studies. Specific AEs were reported as proportions of participants
	 Data extraction was performed in duplicate by two reviewers 	experiencing AEs as the low number of AE prevented pooled analysis.
	Characteristics of included studies were well reported	

First Author,	Strengths	Limitations			
Publication					
Year					
Safety and Harms	Safety and Harms of Triptans for Migraine Headache				
	Methods of pooling studies were				
	appropriate and publication bias was				
D 8	assessed				
Derry	Comprehensive literature search	No conflict of interest statement			
United Kingdom	 Study selection was performed by 	 Significant variability in the details of reporting of included studies 			
onited rangaeni	two independent reviewers	(spontaneous reports in diary cards.			
	 A list of included and excluded 	follow-up review and duration over			
	studies was provided	which data was collected was not			
	 Data extraction was performed in 	always specified)			
	duplicate by two reviewers	Different time periods over which data were collected probibited pooling			
	• Characteristics of included studies were well reported	studies for analysis			
	Methods of pooling studies were				
	appropriate and publication bias was				
a : 9	assessed				
Chen [®]	Comprehensive literature search	While reasons for exclusion were			
2000 United Kingdom	• Study selection and data extraction	given a list of excluded studies was not			
office Ringdom	was performed by two independent	Publication bias was not assessed			
	reviewers	• AE were reported differently in			
	 Included studies were listed 	included studies			
	Data extraction was performed in				
	duplicate by two reviewers				
	were well reported				
	Methods of pooling studies were				
	appropriate				
	 Funding and conflict of interest 				
Dandamized Contro	reported				
Linder ¹⁰	Clearly described research	 Method of randomization was not 			
2008	question, eligibility criteria.	reported, difficult to ensure random			
United States	interventions, outcomes and	allocation to almotriptan and			
	patient characteristics	placebo			
	Sample size was calculated				
	of 45% and almotriptan response				
	rate of 60%				
	 Intent to treat analysis 				
	Conflicts of interest were reported				
Randomized Observ	ver-blind Parallel-Group Study				
Cady 2009	Clearly described research question, eligibility criteria	Allocation schedule from industry Dationte stratified into 2 groups			
United States	interventions, outcomes and	 Fallents stratmed into 2 groups according to number of days of 			
	patient characteristics	migraine per month during baseline			
	Computer generated randomization	(<6/>6 days/month)			
	Outcome assessors blinded	 Subjects were blind at 			

CADTH RAPID RESPONSE SERVICE

First Author, Publication Year	Strengths	Limitations
Safety and Harms of	of Triptans for Migraine Headache	
		 randomization, but unblinded upon receiving drug Subjects recorded number of tablets taken and responses in monthly diaries Industry funded

AE: adverse event

APPENDIX 4: Summary of Findings

First Author,	Main Study Findings	Authors' Conclusions			
Publication					
Year					
Safaty and Harma of Triptona for Migraina Haadaaha					
Sustematic Roy	iows and Mota-Analysis				
DERP ⁴	Monotherany versus Monotherany				
2009	• A review of post marketing surveillance data reported	• "There were no			
United States	• A review of post marketing surveillance data reported	Consistent differences			
office offices	11 following oral sumatrintan	between trintan			
	Chest pain was more frequent in patients taking	monotherapies in rates of			
	sumatriptan100 mg than rizatriptan 5 mg (6%	overall AE" (pg 20) ⁴			
	compared with 1%: P<0.05) but did not differ from				
	rizatriptan 10 mg (6% compared with 3%).				
	Treatment-emergent chest pain was significantly				
	greater for oral sumatriptan 50 mg compared with				
	almotriptan 12.5 mg (2.2% versus 0.3%; P=0.004).				
	 Subcutaneous sumatriptan 6 mg had higher rates of 				
	chest pain than eletriptan 80 mg in one open trial of				
	1696 migraines.				
	 No significant differences were reported in trials 				
	assessing dizziness, paresthesias, or somnolence.				
	Fatigue was more frequent in patients using				
	sumatriptan 100 mg than those using rizatriptan 5 mg				
	(8% versus 2%; P<0.05) but no difference was found				
	(8% vorcus 8%) based on one trial				
	Fixed-dose Combination Tablet versus Triptan				
	Chest discomfort was 2% for Trivmet (reformulated	• "No consistent			
	sumatrinan 85 mg/naproxen 500 mg) versus 1% for	differences in rates of			
	reformulated sumatriptan 85 mg in one study while	overall AE, dizziness.			
	another study suggested rates were below 2% in both	paresthesia. or			
	groups.	somnolence." (pg 20) ⁴			
	No significant difference between Trixmet versus				
	reformulated sumatriptan 85 mg on rates of AE				
	dizziness, paresthesia, somnolence				
	Fixed-dose Combination Tablet versus Co-administra	tion of Individual			
	Component				
	 No evidence was found comparing Treximet versus 	"No head-to-head trials			
	co-administration of individual components.	were found reporting $(p_{a}, 21)^{4}$			
Dorry ⁷	AE 24 hours Post Doso	"AE were transient and			
2012	• Compared to placebo, significantly more sumatriptan	mild and were more			
United Kingdom	recipients experience AF with dose increment (NNH:	common with sumatriptan			
Critica rangaom	5.2. 13. and not statistically significant for sumatriotan	than placebo, with a clear			
	100 mg, 50 mg, and 25 mg, respectively) (54 trials)	dose response relationship			
	• NSD between sumatriptan 25 mg. 50 mg or 100 mg	(25-100 mg). AE were			
	and rizatriptan 5 mg or 10 mg (10 trials).	experienced by 4 in 10			
	NSD between sumatriptan 50 mg and effervescent	(43%) of people taking			
	ASA 1000 mg (2 trials), or zolmitriptan 2.5 mg and 5	sumatriptan 100 mg and by			
	mg in 24 hour AE (4 trials).	2 in 10 (23%) taking			

First Author, Publication Year	Main Study Findings	Authors' Conclusions
	 Sumatriptan 100 mg was worse than ASA 900 mg+MCP 10 mg (NNH: 7.7) (2 trials). Chest Pain on Sumatriptan 25 mg, RR: 1.8 (95% CI: 0.7, 4.3); NNH: NR (3 trials) 50 mg, RR: 2,1 (95% CI: 1.1, 3.9); NNH: 69 (95% CI: 40, 260) (7 trials) 100 mg, RR: 3.0 (95% CI: 1.7, 5.4); NNH: 44 (95% CI: 31, 73) (12 trials) 200 mg, RR: 4.4 (95% CI: 0.54, 36); NNH: NR (2 trials) 300 mg, RR: 17 (95% CI: 2.4, 127); NNH: 16 (95% CI: 11, 27) (2 trials) 	placebo. NSD between sumatriptan and comparator for majority of AE." (pg 2) ⁷
	 Palpitations/tachycardia on Sumatriptan 100 mg, RR: 3.5 (95% CI: 0.75, 17); NNH: NR (2 trials) 	
	 Specific AE Sumatriptan caused significantly less fatigue and nausea/vomiting than eletriptan 80 mg (NNH: -17 and -24, respectively. Sumatriptan 25 mg caused less dizziness and drowsiness than rizatriptan 10 mg (NNH 20 and -34, respectively). Sumatriptan 50 mg caused significantly more headache than rizatriptan (5 mg or 10 mg) (NNH: 38 and 34, respectively). Sumatriptan 100 mg caused significantly more nausea/vomiting, chest pain/tightness, and paraesthesia/numbness than ASA 900 mg+MCP 10 (NNH: 19, 33, 33, and 37, respectively). 	
	 Withdrawals due to AE Sumatriptan 1.6% (113/7133) versus placebo 0.65% (19/2926) Sumatriptan 2.5% (31/1229) versus zolmitriptan 2.9% (52/1775) Sumatriptan 1.2% (10/841) versus eletriptan 1.3% (12/938) Sumatriptan 0.38% (4/1048) versus rizatriptan 0.28% (4/104) 	

First Author, Publication Year	Main Study Findings	Authors' Conclusions
E		
Derry [°] 2012 United Kingdom	 AE 24 hours Post Dose Overall withdrawals were 0.1% (3/1609) for sumatriptan NS and 0.23% (1/431) for placebo. The NNH was not calculated because there were too few events. Sumatriptan NS 20 mg 38% (125/331) versus placebo 15% (27/185) RR: 2.9 (95% CI 2.0, 4.2); NNH: 4.3 (95% CI: 3.3, 6.3) Sumatriptan NS 40 mg 46% (17/37) versus placebo 14% (5/37) Sumatriptan NS 10 mg, 20 mg 18%(7/39), 23% (9/39) versus placebo 5% (2/39) 	"AE were transient and mild but more common with sumatriptan than placebo." (pg 2) ⁵ NSD between sumatriptan NS and comparator for chest pain, palpitations or tachycardia.
	Chest Pain on Sumatriptan NS • 20 mg, RR: 2.0 (95% CI: 0.32, 12); NNH: NR (2 trials)	
	 Palpitations/tachycardia on Sumatriptan NS 20 mg, RR: 0.73 (95% CI 0.11, 4.9); NNH: NR (2 trials) 	
	 Specific AE NSD between sumatriptan NS and comparator for fatigue, nausea, vomiting, paresthesia or dizziness. 	
	 Withdrawals due to AE Sumatriptan 1.6% (113/7133) versus placebo 0.65% (19/2926) 	
Derry ⁶ 2012 United Kingdom	 AE 24 hours Post Dose Sumatriptan SC 4 mg 71% (313/442) versus placebo 41% (113/278) RR 4 mg: 1.8 (95% Cl 1.6, 2.2); NNH: 3.3 (95% Cl: 2.7, 4.4) Sumatriptan SC 6 mg 44% (341/767) versus placebo 24% (137/575) RR 6 mg: 2.1 (95% Cl 1.8, 2.5); NNH: 4.9 (95% Cl: 3.9, 6.4) Proportion of participants sumatriptan SC 1, 2, 3, and 8 mg was 63%, 67%, 80%, and 97%, respectively, compared to 55% of placebo recipients. Chest Pain on Sumatriptan SC 2 mg and 6 mg, 5% and 4%, respectively; placebo 1% Specific AE SC 6 mg: 4% fatigue, 6% dizziness, 7% nausea versus 4%, 4%, and 5%, respectively in placebo 	"Adverse events were transient and mild and were more common with sumatriptan than placebo." (pg 2) ⁶
	Withdrawals due to AE Sumatriptan SC 1.2% (41/3451) versus placebo 0.4% (10/2474); NNH: NR	

First Author,	Main Study Findings	Authors' Conclusions
Publication		
Year		
Derry ⁸	AE 24 hours Post Dose	"There was not enough
2012	 NSD in AE between sumatriptan<12.5 mg and 	evidence to draw
United Kingdom	placebo, greater incidence with doses >25 mg.	conclusions about the incidence of AE or to
	Chest Pain on Rectal Sumatriptan	compare rectal sumatriptan
	 None reported in sumatriptan versus placebo trials 	directly with any other active comparators." (pg
	Specific AE	2) ⁸
	 One rectal sumatriptan 100 mg patient hospitalized for migraine 	
	 NSD in rates of fatigue, nausea or vomiting for any 	
	dose of rectal sumatriptan compared to placebo	
	Withdrawals due to AE	
	• The overall incidence of withdrawals due to AE for two	
	studies was 0.4% (2/520) for all doses of rectai	
Chen ⁹	AE 24 hours Post Dose	"Zolmitriptan 2.5 mg tablet
2007	• Fewer AE in zolmitriptan tablet 2.5 mg recipients	had a similar AE profile to
United	compared with zolmitriptan NS 5 mg (RR: 0.8; 95% CI	almotriptan 12.5 mg,
Kingdom	0.65, 0.99).	eletriptan 40 mg, and
-	• Significantly zolmitriptan 2.5 mg tablets recipients	sumatriptan 50 mg. Zelmitriptan 5 mg tablet
	CL 1 10, 2 30) or rizatriptan 2.5 mg (RR: 1.59; 95%	had a similar AF profile to
	1.27; 95% CI 1.02, 1.58).	sumatriptan 50 mg and 100
	 In contrast, zolmitriptan tablet 2.5 mg resulted in 	mg. Zolmitriptan 2.5 mg
	significantly fewer patients reporting AE compared	tablet had a higher risk of
	with eletriptan 80 mg (RR: 0.80; 95% CI 0.67, 0.95).	AE than haratriptan 2.5 mg
	NSD in patients reporting AE when zolmitriptan tablet 2.5 mg was compared with almotriptan 12.5 mg	lower risk of AE than
	eletrintan 40 mg, and sumatrinan 50 mg	eletriptan 80 mg." (pg 242) ⁹
	Similarly, NSD between zolmitriptan tablet 5 mg	
	versus sumatriptan 50 mg or 100 mg.	
	Chest Pain on Zolmitriptan	
	 NSD in the risk of chest related symptoms between 	
	zolmitriptan 2.5 mg, 5 mg and placebo.	
	Specific AE	
	• Zolmitriptan 2.5 mg and 5 mg and zolmitriptan NS 5	
	mg snowed significantly nigher risks of dizziness,	
	Single trials showed NSD in the risk of dizziness with	
	zolmitriptan NS 2.5 mg and somnolence with	
	zolmitriptan ODT 2.5 mg compared to placebo.	
Randomized Co	ntrolled Trials (RCTs)	
Linder	AE	"Almotriptan was well
2008 United States	• Proportion with \geq 1 AE: placebo: 18.6%, almotriptan	tolerated, with the most
United States	o.∠o mg, 1∠.o mg, ∠o mg were 15%, ∠3.6% and	CONTINUN AE (>2%) OI

First Author, Publication Year	Main Study Findings	Authors' Conclusions
	 25.8%, respectively AEs in almotriptan 6.25 mg, 12.5 mg, and 25 mg groups were 6.7%, 12.1%, and 12.4%, respectively, compared with 5.8% in the placebo group. AE with an incidence >2% in any treatment group were dizziness, somnolence, and nausea. No deaths, serious AE, or discontinuations due to AE occurred, and no clinically significant changes in vital signs or electrocardiograms were noted. Chest Pain in Adolescents using Almotriptan No clinically significant changes in vital signs or electrocardiograms were noted. Specific AE AE with an incidence >2% in any treatment group were dizziness, somnolence, and nausea. No deaths, serious AE, or discontinuations 	nausea, dizziness, and somnolence." (pg 1326) ¹⁰
Randomized Ob	oserver-blind Parallel-Group Study	
Cady ¹¹ 2009 United States	 AE Rizatriptan well tolerated but 27 rizatriptan ODT 10 mg did not reduce migraine days compared to 9 tablets. AE were similar for subjects receiving clinical and formulary limits of rizatriptan. Overall, rizatriptan was generally well tolerated and fewer AE were reported during treatment than baseline periods. Palpitations on Rizatriptan OTD One subject discontinued due to heart palpitations during treatment. Specific AE 6 Serious AEs: cholelithiasis, appendicitis, chest pain, paresthesia, hypoesthesia and ketoacidosis in four subjects during baseline. None were considered treatment-related. Discontinuation Three subjects had abdominal cramping and sompolence during baseline and baset palpitations 	"Providing a greater quantity of rizatriptan ODT 10 mg did not reduce the number of migraine days compared with providing 9 tablets/month for this population with epidosic migraine with a frequency of 3-4 migraines /month. Regardless of quantity provided, rizatriptan was generally well tolerated." (pg 1402) ¹¹
	somnolence during baseline and heart palpitations during treatment.	

AE: adverse event; ASA: acetylsalicylic acid; CI: confidence interval; NSD: no significant difference; MCP: metoclopramide; NNH: number needed to harm; NR: not reported; ODT: orally disintegrating tablet; RR: relative risk;